arXiv:1512.04428v1 [math.OC] 14 Dec 2015

Penalty schemes with inertial effects for monotone inclusion problems

Radu Ioan Boţ * Ernö Robert Csetnek †

December 15, 2015

Abstract. We introduce a penalty term-based splitting algorithm with inertial effects designed for solving monotone inclusion problems involving the sum of maximally monotone operators and the convex normal cone to the (nonempty) set of zeros of a monotone and Lipschitz continuous operator. We show weak ergodic convergence of the generated sequence of iterates to a solution of the monotone inclusion problem, provided a condition expressed via the Fitzpatrick function of the operator describing the underlying set of the normal cone is verified. Under strong monotonicity assumptions we can even show strong nonergodic convergence of the iterates. This approach constitutes the starting point for investigating from a similar perspective monotone inclusion problems involving linear compositions of parallel-sum operators and, further, for the minimization of a complexly structured convex objective function subject to the set of minima of another convex and differentiable function.

Key Words. maximally monotone operator, Fitzpatrick function, resolvent, Lipschitz continuous operator, forward-backward-forward algorithm, subdifferential, Fenchel conjugate

AMS subject classification. 47H05, 65K05, 90C25

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The article [4] has represented the starting point of the investigations of several authors (see [4–6, 8, 16, 17, 22, 38, 40]) related to the solving of variational inequalities expressed as monotone inclusion of the form

$$0 \in Ax + N_M(x), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is a real Hilbert space, $A : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ is a maximally monotone operator, $M := \operatorname{argmin} \Psi$ is the set of global minima of the convex and differentiable function $\Psi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ fulfilling $\min \Psi = 0$ and $N_M : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ denotes the normal cone to the set $M \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. One motivation for studying such monotone inclusions certainly comes from the fact that, when $A = \partial\Phi$ is the convex subdifferential of a proper, convex and lower semicontinuous function $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. this opens the gates towards the solving of convex minimization problems of type

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{\Phi(x) : x \in \operatorname{argmin} \Psi\}. \tag{2}$$

A fruitful approach proposed in the above-mentioned literature when numerically solving (1) assumes the penalization of the function Ψ and the performing at each iteration of a gradient

*University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria, email: radu.bot@univie.ac.at

†University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria, email: ernoe.robert.csetnek@univie.ac.at. Research supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund), Lise Meitner Programme, project M 1682-N25.

step with respect to it in combination with a proximal step with respect to A. In the asymptotic analysis of these schemes, a fundamental role is played by the condition

$$\text{for every } p \in \operatorname{ran} N_M, \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda_n \beta_n \left[\Psi^*\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] < +\infty, \tag{3}$$

which is basically the discrete counterpart of a condition given in the continuous setting for nonautonomous differential inclusions in [4]. Here, $\Psi^* : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the Fenchel conjugate function of Ψ, $\operatorname{ran} N_M$ the range of the normal cone operator $N_M : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$, σ_M the support function of M and $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are positive real sequences representing step sizes and penalty parameters, respectively. For conditions guaranteeing (3) we refer the reader to [4–6, 38, 40].

In [16, 17] we investigated from a similar perspective the more general inclusion problem

$$0 \in Ax + Dx + N_M(x), \tag{4}$$

where $A : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ is a maximally monotone operator, $D : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a (single-valued) monotone and Lipschitz continuous operator and $M \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ denotes the nonempty set of zeros of another monotone and Lipschitz continuous operator $B : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. We formulated a forward-backward-forward algorithm of penalty type for solving (4) and proved weak ergodic convergence for the sequence of generated iterates, provided that a condition formulated in the spirit of (3), however, formulated by using the Fitzpatrick function associated to B, is valid.

In this paper, our aim is to endow the forward-backward-forward algorithm of penalty type for solving (4) from [16, 17] with inertial effects. Iterative schemes with inertial effects have their roots in the implicit discretization of a differential system of second-order in time (see [3]). One of the main features of the inertial splitting algorithm is that the new iterate is defined by making use of the previous two iterates. Taking into account the "prehistory" of the process can lead to an acceleration of the convergence of the iterates, as it has been for instance pointed out by Polyak (see [41]) in the context of minimizing a differentiable function. As emphasized by Bertsekas in [11] (see also [39] and [24]), one of the aspects which makes algorithms with inertial (sometimes also called memory) effects useful is their ability to detect optimal solutions of minimization problems which cannot be found by their noninertial variants. Since their introduction one can notice an increasing interest in inertial algorithms, which is exemplified by the following references [1–3, 7, 18–21, 23, 24, 28, 30, 31, 35–37].

We show weak ergodic convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative scheme to a solution of the monotone inclusion problem (4) by using Fejér monotonicity techniques. The correspondent of condition (3) in the context of monotone inclusion problems of type (4) will play again a decisive role. When the operator A is assumed to be strongly monotone, the iterates are proved to converge strongly to the unique solution of (4). By using a product space approach, we are also able to enhance these investigations to monotone inclusion problems involving linear compositions of parallel-sum operators. This further allows to formulate a penalty scheme with inertial effects for the minimization of a complexly structured convex objective function subject to the set of minima of another convex and differentiable function.

Next we present some notations which are used throughout the paper (see [9,13,14,33,45,47]). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space with *inner product* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and associated *norm* $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. When $\mathcal{G}$ is another Hilbert space and $L : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ a linear continuous operator, then the *norm* of L is defined as $\|L\| = \sup\{\|Lx\| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$, while $L^* : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$, defined by $\langle L^* y, x \rangle = \langle y, Lx \rangle$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}$, denotes the *adjoint operator* of L.

For a function $f : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote by $\operatorname{dom} f = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : f(x) < +\infty\}$ its *effective domain* and say that f is *proper*, if $\operatorname{dom} f \neq \emptyset$ and $f(x) \neq -\infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $f^* : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $f^*(u) = \sup_{x\in\mathcal{H}}\{\langle u, x \rangle - f(x)\}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$, be the *conjugate function* of f. We denote by

$\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ the family of proper, convex and lower semi-continuous extended real-valued functions defined on $\mathcal{H}$. The *subdifferential* of f at $x \in \mathcal{H}$, with $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, is the set $\partial f(x) := \{v \in \mathcal{H} : f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle v, y - x\rangle \ \forall y \in \mathcal{H}\}$. We take by convention $\partial f(x) := \emptyset$, if $f(x) \in \{\pm\infty\}$. We also denote by $\min f := \inf_{x\in\mathcal{H}} f(x)$ and by $\operatorname{argmin} f := \{x \in \mathcal{H} : f(x) = \min f\}$. For $f, g : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ two proper functions, we consider their *infimal convolution*, which is the function $f\square g : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $(f\square g)(x) = \inf_{y\in\mathcal{H}}\{f(y) + g(x - y)\}$.

Let $M \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be a nonempty convex set. The *indicator function* of M, $\delta_M : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, is the function which takes the value 0 on M and $+\infty$ otherwise. The subdifferential of the indicator function is the *normal cone* of M, that is $N_M(x) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \langle u, y - x\rangle \leq 0 \ \forall y \in M\}$, if $x \in M$ and $N_M(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \notin M$. Notice that for $x \in M$, $u \in N_M(x)$ if and only if $\sigma_M(u) = \langle u, x\rangle$, where σ_M is the support function of M, defined by $\sigma_M(u) = \sup_{y\in M}\langle y, u\rangle$. Further, we denote by

$$\operatorname{sqri} M := \{x \in M : \cup_{\lambda>0}\lambda(M - x) \text{ is a closed linear subspace of } \mathcal{H}\}$$

the *strong quasi-relative interior* of M. We always have $\operatorname{int} M \subseteq \operatorname{sqri} M$ (in general this inclusion may be strict). If $\mathcal{H}$ is finite-dimensional, then $\operatorname{sqri} M$ coincides with $\operatorname{ri} M$, the relative interior of M, which is the interior of M with respect to its affine hull.

For an arbitrary set-valued operator $A : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ we denote by $\operatorname{Gr} A = \{(x, u) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} : u \in Ax\}$ its *graph*, by $\operatorname{dom} A = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : Ax \neq \emptyset\}$ its *domain*, by $\operatorname{ran} A = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \exists x \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } u \in Ax\}$ its *range* and by $A^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ its *inverse operator*, defined by $(u, x) \in \operatorname{Gr} A^{-1}$ if and only if $(x, u) \in \operatorname{Gr} A$. The *parallel sum* of two set-valued operators $A_1, A_2 : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$A_1\square A_2 : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}, A_1\square A_2 = \left(A_1^{-1} + A_2^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$

We use also the notation $\operatorname{zer} A = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : 0 \in Ax\}$ for the *set of zeros* of the operator A. We say that A is *monotone* if $\langle x - y, u - v\rangle \geq 0$ for all $(x, u), (y, v) \in \operatorname{Gr} A$. A monotone operator A is said to be *maximally monotone*, if there exists no proper monotone extension of the graph of A on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Let us mention that in case A is maximally monotone, $\operatorname{zer} A$ is a convex and closed set [9, Proposition 23.39]. We refer to [9, Section 23.4] for conditions ensuring that $\operatorname{zer} A$ is nonempty.

If A is maximally monotone, then one has the following characterization for the set of its zeros

$$z \in \operatorname{zer} M \text{ if and only if } \langle u - z, w\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } (u, w) \in \operatorname{Gr} M. \tag{5}$$

The operator A is said to be γ-*strongly monotone* with $\gamma > 0$, if $\langle x - y, u - v\rangle \geq \gamma\|x - y\|^2$ for all $(x, u), (y, v) \in \operatorname{Gr} A$. Notice that if A is maximally monotone and strongly monotone, then $\operatorname{zer} A$ is a singleton, thus nonempty (see [9, Corollary 23.37]). Let $\gamma > 0$ be arbitrary. A single-valued operator $A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is said to be γ-*Lipschitz continuous*, if $\|Ax - Ay\| \leq \gamma\|x - y\|$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.

The *resolvent* of A, $J_A : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$, is defined by $J_A = (\operatorname{Id} + A)^{-1}$, where $\operatorname{Id} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \operatorname{Id}(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, is the *identity operator* on $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, if A is maximally monotone, then $J_A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is single-valued and maximally monotone (cf. [9, Proposition 23.7 and Corollary 23.10]). For an arbitrary $\gamma > 0$ we have (see [9, Proposition 23.18])

$$J_{\gamma A} + \gamma J_{\gamma^{-1}A^{-1}} \circ \gamma^{-1} \operatorname{Id} = \operatorname{Id}. \tag{6}$$

When $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $\gamma > 0$, for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we denote by $\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma f}(x)$ the *proximal point* of parameter γ of f at x, which is the unique optimal solution of the optimization problem

$$\inf_{y\in\mathcal{H}}\left\{f(y) + \frac{1}{2\gamma}\|y - x\|^2\right\}. \tag{7}$$

Notice that $J_{\gamma\partial f} = (\mathrm{Id} + \gamma\partial f)^{-1} = \mathrm{prox}_{\gamma f}$, thus $\mathrm{prox}_{\gamma f} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a single-valued operator fulfilling the extended *Moreau's decomposition formula*

$$\mathrm{prox}_{\gamma f} + \gamma\, \mathrm{prox}_{(1/\gamma) f^*} \circ \gamma^{-1}\, \mathrm{Id} = \mathrm{Id}\,. \tag{8}$$

Let us also recall that the function $f : \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be γ-*strongly convex* for $\gamma > 0$, if $f - \frac{\gamma}{2}\|\cdot\|^2$ is a convex function. Let us mention that this property implies that ∂f is γ-strongly monotone (see [9, Example 22.3]).

The *Fitzpatrick function* associated to a monotone operator A is defined as

$$\varphi_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}},\ \varphi_A(x,u) = \sup_{(y,v)\in \mathrm{Gr}\, A} \{\langle x, v\rangle + \langle y, u\rangle - \langle y, v\rangle\},$$

and it is a convex and lower semicontinuous function. Introduced by Fitzpatrick in [34], this functione opened the gate towards the employment of convex analysis specific tools when investigating the maximality of monotone operators (see [9,10,12–15,27,45] and the references therein) and it will play an important role throughout the paper. In case A is maximally monotone, φ_A is proper and it fulfills

$$\varphi_A(x,u) \geq \langle x, u\rangle\ \forall (x,u) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H},$$

with equality if and only if $(x,u) \in \mathrm{Gr}\, A$. Notice that if $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, then ∂f is a maximally monotone operator (cf. [42]) and it holds $(\partial f)^{-1} = \partial f^*$. Furthermore, the following inequality is true (see [10])

$$\varphi_{\partial f}(x,u) \leq f(x) + f^*(u)\ \forall (x,u) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}. \tag{9}$$

We refer the reader to [10] for formulae of the Fitzpatrick function computed for particular classes of monotone operators.

We close the section by presenting some convergence results that will be used several times in the paper. Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ and $(\lambda_k)_{k\geq 1}$ a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{k\geq 1} \lambda_k = +\infty$. Let $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of weighted averages defined as (see [6])

$$z_n = \frac{1}{\tau_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k x_k,\ \text{where } \tau_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k\ \forall n \geq 1. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 1 *(Opial-Passty) Let F be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{H}$ and assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x\|$ exists for every $x \in F$. If every sequential weak cluster point of $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ (respectively $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$) lies in F, then $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ (respectively $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$) converges weakly to an element in F as $n \to +\infty$.*

Lemma 2 *(see [1–3]) Let $(\varphi_n)_{n\geq 0}, (\delta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be sequences in $[0,+\infty)$ such that $\varphi_{n+1} \leq \varphi_n + \alpha_n(\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}) + \delta_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, $\sum_{n\geq 1} \delta_n < +\infty$ and there exists a real number α with $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then the following statements are true:*

(i) $\sum_{n\geq 1} [\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}]_+ < +\infty$, where $[t]_+ = \max\{t, 0\}$;

(ii) there exists $\varphi^* \in [0,+\infty)$ such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \varphi_n = \varphi^*$.

A direct consequence of Lemma 2 is the following result.

Lemma 3 *Let $(\varphi_n)_{n\geq 0}, (\delta_n)_{n\geq 1}, (\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be sequences in $[0,+\infty)$ such that $\varphi_{n+1} \leq -\beta_n + \varphi_n + \alpha_n(\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}) + \delta_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, $\sum_{n\geq 1} \delta_n < +\infty$ and there exists a real number α with $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then the following hold:*

(i) $\sum_{n\geq 1} [\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}]_+ < +\infty$, where $[t]_+ = \max\{t, 0\}$;

(ii) there exists $\varphi^* \in [0,+\infty)$ such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \varphi_n = \varphi^*$;

(iii) $\sum_{n\geq 1} \beta_n < +\infty$.

2 A forward-backward-forward penalty algorithm with inertial effects

Throughout this section we are concerned with the solving of the following monotone inclusion problem.

Problem 4 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space, $A : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ a maximally monotone operator, $D : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a monotone and η^{-1}-Lipschitz continuous operator with $\eta > 0$, $B : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a monotone and μ^{-1}-Lipschitz continuous operator with $\mu > 0$ and assume that $M = \operatorname{zer} B \neq \emptyset$. The monotone inclusion problem to solve is

$$0 \in Ax + Dx + N_M(x).$$

We propose the following iterative scheme for solving Problem 4.

Algorithm 5

`Initialization:` `Choose` $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$

`For` $n \geq 1$ `set:`
$$p_n = J_{\lambda_n A}(x_n - \lambda_n Dx_n - \lambda_n \beta_n Bx_n + \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1}))$$
$$x_{n+1} = \lambda_n \beta_n (Bx_n - Bp_n) + \lambda_n (Dx_n - Dp_n) + p_n,$$

where $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are sequences of positive real numbers that represent the step sizes, the penalty parameters and the control parameters of the inertial effects, respectively.

Remark 6 When $\alpha_n = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$, the above numerical scheme becomes Algorithm 3 in [16]. On the other hand, assume that $Bx = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ (having as consequence $M = \mathcal{H}$ and $N_M(x) = \{0\}$). In this case, Algorithm 5 turns out to be the inertial splitting method proposed and analyzed in [18] for solving the monotone inclusion problem

$$0 \in Ax + Dx. \tag{11}$$

If we combine these two cases, namely by assuming that $\alpha_n = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $Bx = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then Algorithm 5 is nothing else than Tseng's iterative scheme for solving (11) (see also [26] for an error tolerant version of this method).

The following technical statement will be useful in the convergence analysis of Algorithm 5.

Lemma 7 *Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 5 and let $(u, w) \in \operatorname{Gr}(A+D+N_M)$ be such that $w = v+p+Du$, where $v \in Au$ and $p \in N_M(u)$. Then the following inequality holds for any $n \geq 1$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - u\|^2 - \|x_n - u\|^2 \leq\ & \alpha_n(\|x_n - u\|^2 - \|x_{n-1} - u\|^2) + 2\alpha_n\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \\ & - \left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2 - \alpha_n\right]\|x_n - p_n\|^2 \\ & + 2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] + 2\lambda_n\langle u - p_n, w\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of the resolvent operator that $\frac{1}{\lambda_n}(x_n - p_n) - \beta_n Bx_n - Dx_n + \frac{\alpha_n}{\lambda_n}(x_n - x_{n-1}) \in Ap_n$ for any $n \geq 1$ and, since $v \in Au$, the monotonicity of A guarantees

$$\langle p_n - u, x_n - p_n - \lambda_n(\beta_n Bx_n + Dx_n + v) + \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1})\rangle \geq 0 \ \forall n \geq 1,$$

thus

$$\langle u-p_n, x_n-p_n\rangle \leq \langle u-p_n, \lambda_n\beta_n Bx_n+\lambda_n Dx_n+\lambda_n v-\alpha_n(x_n-x_{n-1})\rangle \ \forall n\geq 1.$$

In the following we take into account the definition of x_{n+1} given in the algorithm and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u-p_n, x_n-p_n\rangle &\leq \langle u-p_n, x_{n+1}-p_n+\lambda_n\beta_n Bp_n+\lambda_n Dp_n+\lambda_n v-\alpha_n(x_n-x_{n-1})\rangle\\ &= \langle u-p_n, x_{n+1}-p_n\rangle+\lambda_n\beta_n\langle u-p_n, Bp_n\rangle+\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, Dp_n\rangle\\ &\quad+\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, v\rangle+\alpha_n\langle p_n-u, x_n-x_{n-1}\rangle \ \forall n\geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Notice that for any $n\geq 1$

$$\langle u-p_n, x_n-p_n\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\|u-p_n\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|x_n-u\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|x_n-p_n\|^2,$$

$$\langle u-p_n, x_{n+1}-p_n\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\|u-p_n\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|x_{n+1}-u\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|x_{n+1}-p_n\|^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle p_n-u, x_n-x_{n-1}\rangle &= \langle x_n-u, x_n-x_{n-1}\rangle+\langle p_n-x_n, x_n-x_{n-1}\rangle\\ &= \frac{\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2}{2}+\frac{\|x_n-u\|^2}{2}-\frac{\|x_{n-1}-u\|^2}{2}\\ &\quad+\frac{\|p_n-x_{n-1}\|^2}{2}-\frac{\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2}{2}-\frac{\|x_n-p_n\|^2}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

By making use of these equalities, from (13) we obtain that for any $n\geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\|u-p_n\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|x_n-u\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|x_n-p_n\|^2\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u-p_n\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|x_{n+1}-u\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|x_{n+1}-p_n\|^2+\\ &\lambda_n\beta_n\langle u-p_n, Bp_n\rangle+\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, Dp_n\rangle+\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, v\rangle+\\ &\alpha_n\left[\frac{\|x_n-u\|^2}{2}-\frac{\|x_{n-1}-u\|^2}{2}+\frac{\|p_n-x_{n-1}\|^2}{2}-\frac{\|x_n-p_n\|^2}{2}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Further, by using the inequality

$$\|p_n-x_{n-1}\|^2\leq 2\|x_n-p_n\|^2+2\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2,$$

the relation $v=w-p-Du$ and the definition of the Fitzpatrick function we derive for any $n\geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|x_{n+1}-u\|^2-\|x_n-u\|^2\\ &\leq \|x_{n+1}-p_n\|^2-\|x_n-p_n\|^2+2\lambda_n\beta_n\left(\langle u, Bp_n\rangle+\left\langle p_n, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right\rangle-\langle p_n, Bp_n\rangle-\left\langle u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right\rangle\right)+\\ &2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, Dp_n-Du\rangle+2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, w\rangle+\\ &\alpha_n\left[\|x_n-u\|^2-\|x_{n-1}-u\|^2+\|x_n-p_n\|^2+2\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2\right]\\ &\leq \|x_{n+1}-p_n\|^2-\|x_n-p_n\|^2+2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]+\\ &2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, Dp_n-Du\rangle+2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n, w\rangle+\\ &\alpha_n\left[\|x_n-u\|^2-\|x_{n-1}-u\|^2+\|x_n-p_n\|^2+2\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since D is monotone, we have $\langle u - p_n, Dp_n - Du \rangle \leq 0$ for any $n \geq 1$ and the conclusion follows by noticing that the Lipschitz continuity of B and D yields

$$\|x_{n+1} - p_n\| \leq \frac{\lambda_n \beta_n}{\mu}\|x_n - p_n\| + \frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\|x_n - p_n\| = \left(\frac{\lambda_n \beta_n}{\mu} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)\|x_n - p_n\| \ \forall n \geq 1.$$

■

We will prove the convergence of Algorithm 5 under the following hypotheses:

$$(H_{fitz}) \begin{cases} (i)\ A + N_M \text{ is maximally monotone and } \operatorname{zer}(A + D + N_M) \neq \emptyset; \\ (ii)\ \text{For every } p \in \operatorname{ran} N_M, \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda_n \beta_n \left[\sup_{u \in M} \varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] < +\infty; \\ (iii)\ (\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell^2 \setminus \ell^1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 8 The first part of the statement in (i) is verified if one of the Rockafellar conditions $M \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} A \neq \emptyset$ or $\operatorname{dom} A \cap \operatorname{int} M \neq \emptyset$ is fulfilled (see [43]). We refer the reader to [9, 12–15, 45] for further conditions which guarantee the maximality of the sum of maximally monotone operators. Further, we refer to [9, Subsection 23.4] for conditions ensuring that the set of zeros of a maximally monotone operator is nonempty. The condition (ii) above has been introduced for the first time in [16]. According to [16, Remark 4], the hypothesis (ii) is a generalization of the condition considered in [6] (see also (H^{opt}_{fitz}) and Remark 18 in Section 4 for conditions guaranteeing (ii)).

Remark 9 (see also [16]) Since D is maximally monotone (see [9, Example 20.28]) and $\operatorname{dom} D = \mathcal{H}$, the hypothesis (i) above guarantees that $A + D + N_M$ is maximally monotone, too (see [9, Corollary 24.4]). Moreover, for each $p \in \operatorname{ran} N_M$ we have

$$\sup_{u \in M} \varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) \geq 0 \ \forall n \geq 1.$$

Indeed, if $p \in \operatorname{ran} N_M$, then there exists $\overline{u} \in M$ such that $p \in N_M(\overline{u})$. This implies that

$$\sup_{u \in M} \varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) \geq \left\langle \overline{u}, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right\rangle - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) = 0 \ \forall n \geq 1.$$

Let us state now the convergence properties of the sequences generated by Algorithm 5.

Theorem 10 *Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 5 and $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ the sequence defined in (10). Assume that (H_{fitz}) is fulfilled, $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is nondecreasing and there exist $n_0 \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$*

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha \tag{14}$$

and

$$5\alpha + 2\sigma + (1 + 4\alpha + 2\sigma)\left(\frac{\lambda_n \beta_n}{\mu} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2 \leq 1. \tag{15}$$

The following statements hold:

(i) $\sum_{n\geq 0} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 < +\infty$ and $\sum_{n\geq 1} \|x_n - p_n\|^2 < +\infty$;

(ii) $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges weakly to an element in $\operatorname{zer}(A + D + N_M)$ as $n \to +\infty$;

(iii) if A is γ-strongly monotone with $\gamma > 0$, then $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converge strongly to the unique element in $\operatorname{zer}(A + D + N_M)$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Remark 11 When

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)<1,$$

one can select $\alpha\geq 0$, $\sigma>0$ and $n_0\geq 1$ such that (15) holds for any $n\geq n_0$. With given α and n_0 one can chose a nondecreasing sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ fulfilling (14) for any $n\geq n_0$, too.

Proof. We start by noticing that for $(u,w)\in \mathrm{Gr}(A+D+N_M)$ such that $w=v+p+Du$, where $v\in Au$ and $p\in N_M(u)$, from (12) we obtain for any $n\geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-u\|^2-\|x_n-u\|^2\leq{}&\alpha_n(\|x-u\|^2-\|x_{n-1}-u\|^2)+2\alpha_n\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2\\&-\frac{\left[1-\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2-\alpha_n\right]}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2\\&+\ 2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]+2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n,w\rangle. \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, this follows by taking into consideration that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|&=\|\lambda_n\beta_n(Bx_n-Bp_n)+\lambda_n(Dx_n-Dp_n)+p_n-x_n\|\\&\leq\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)\|x_n-p_n\|\end{aligned}$$

and, due to (15),

$$1-\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2-\alpha_n>0\ \forall n\geq n_0.$$

With the notations

$$\varphi_n:=\|x_n-u\|^2\ \forall n\geq 0$$

and

$$\mu_n:=\varphi_n-\alpha_n\varphi_{n-1}+2\alpha_n\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2\ \forall n\geq 1,$$

we obtain from (16) and the fact that $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is nondecreasing the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{n+1}-\mu_n&\leq\varphi_{n+1}-\varphi_n-\alpha_n(\varphi_n-\varphi_{n-1})+2\alpha_{n+1}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2-2\alpha_n\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2\\&\leq\left[2\alpha_{n+1}-\frac{1-\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2-\alpha_n}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2}\right]\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2\\&\quad+\ 2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]+2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n,w\rangle\ \forall n\geq n_0. \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

Further, we claim that

$$2\alpha_{n+1}-\frac{1-\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2-\alpha_n}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2}\leq-\sigma\ \forall n\geq n_0. \quad (18)$$

Indeed, this is equivalent to

$$(2\alpha_{n+1}+\sigma)\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2+\alpha_n+\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2\leq 1\ \forall n\geq n_0,$$

which is true since due to (14)-(15) we have for any $n \geq n_0$

$$(2\alpha_{n+1}+\sigma)\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2+\alpha_n+\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2\leq$$

$$(2\alpha+\sigma)\left(1+\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2+\alpha+\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2\leq$$

$$2(2\alpha+\sigma)\left(1+\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2\right)+\alpha+\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2\leq 1.$$

We conclude from (17)-(18) that for any $n \geq n_0$

$$\mu_{n+1}-\mu_n \leq -\sigma\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2+2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]+2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n,w\rangle. \tag{19}$$

Next we divide the proof in three parts.

(a) First we prove that for every $u \in \operatorname{zer}(A+D+N_M)$ the sequence $(\|x_n-u\|)_{n\geq 0}$ is convergent and the statement (i) holds.

Take an arbitrary $u \in \operatorname{zer}(A+D+N_M)$. In this case one can take $w=0$ in (19) and summing up these inequalities for $n=n_0,...,N$, where $N \geq n_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{N+1}-\mu_{n_0} &\leq -\sigma\sum_{n=n_0}^{N}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2+2\sum_{n=n_0}^{N}\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] \\ &\leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

hence $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded from above due to (H_{fitz}). Let $C>0$ be an upper bound of this sequence. By using (14) we get

$$\varphi_n-\alpha\varphi_{n-1}\leq\mu_n\leq C\ \forall n\geq n_0,$$

from which we deduce (since $\alpha\in(0,1)$ due to (15))

$$\varphi_n\leq\alpha^{n-n_0}\varphi_{n_0}+C\sum_{k=1}^{n-n_0}\alpha^{k-1}\leq\alpha^{n-n_0}\varphi_{n_0}+\frac{C}{1-\alpha}\ \forall n\geq n_0+1. \tag{21}$$

Further, by combining (20), the definition of the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$, (14) and (21) we obtain for any $N\geq n_0+1$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\sum_{n=n_0}^{N}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2 &\leq \mu_{n_0}-\mu_{N+1}+2\sum_{n=n_0}^{N}\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] \\ &\leq \mu_{n_0}+\alpha\varphi_N+2\sum_{n=n_0}^{N}\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] \\ &\leq \mu_{n_0}+\alpha^{N-n_0+1}+\frac{C\alpha}{1-\alpha}+2\sum_{n=n_0}^{N}\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From (H_{fitz}) and (22) we further get $\sum_{n\geq 0}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|^2<+\infty$. Moreover, by taking into account the inequality (12) for $w=0$, (14)-(15) and (H_{fitz}), we conclude by applying Lemma 3 that $\sum_{n\geq 1}\|x_n-p_n\|^2<+\infty$ and $(\|x_n-u\|)_{n\geq 0}$ is convergent.

(b) Next we prove that every weak cluster point of $(z'_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where

$$z'_n:=\frac{1}{\tau_n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k p_k \text{ and } \tau_n:=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k \ \forall n\geq 1,$$

lies in $\operatorname{zer}(A+D+N_M)$.

Let z be a sequential weak cluster point of $(z'_n)_{n\geq 1}$. As we already noticed that $A+D+N_M$ is maximally monotone, in order to show that $z\in\operatorname{zer}(A+D+N_M)$ we will use the characterization given in (5). Take $(u,w)\in\operatorname{Gr}(A+D+N_M)$ such that $w=v+p+Du$, where $v\in Au$ and $p\in N_M(u)$. From (19) we have

$$\mu_{n+1}-\mu_n\leq 2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]+2\lambda_n\langle u-p_n,w\rangle \ \forall n\geq n_0.$$

Let be $N\in\mathbb{N}$ with $N\geq n_0+2$. Summing up the above inequalities for $n=n_0+1,...,N$ we get

$$\mu_{N+1}-\mu_{n_0+1}\leq L+2\left\langle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\lambda_n u-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\lambda_n p_n-\sum_{n=1}^{n_0}\lambda_n u+\sum_{n=1}^{n_0}\lambda_n p_n,w\right\rangle,$$

where

$$L:=\ 2\sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u,\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)-\sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Dividing by $2\tau_N=2\sum_{k=1}^{N}\lambda_k$ we obtain

$$\frac{\mu_{N+1}-\mu_{n_0+1}}{2\tau_N}\leq\frac{\widetilde{L}}{2\tau_N}+\langle u-z'_N,w\rangle, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\widetilde{L}:=L+2\left\langle-\sum_{n=1}^{n_0}\lambda_n u+\sum_{n=1}^{n_0}\lambda_n p_n,w\right\rangle\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Notice that due to (a), $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is bounded, hence the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded as well. By passing in (23) to limit as $N\to+\infty$ and by using that $\lim_{N\to+\infty}\tau_N=+\infty$, we get

$$\liminf_{N\to+\infty}\langle u-z'_N,w\rangle\geq 0.$$

Since z is a sequential weak cluster point of $(z'_n)_{n\geq 1}$, we obtain that $\langle u-z,w\rangle\geq 0$. Finally, as this inequality holds for arbitrary $(u,w)\in\operatorname{Gr}(A+D+N_M)$, the desired conclusion follows.

(c) In the third part we show that every weak cluster point of $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ lies in $\operatorname{zer}(A+D+N_M)$.

For (c) it is enough to prove that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\|z_n-z'_n\|=0$ and the statement will be a consequence of (b).

For any $n\geq 1$ it holds

$$\|z_n-z'_n\|^2=\frac{1}{\tau_n^2}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k(x_k-p_k)\right\|^2\leq\frac{1}{\tau_n^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k\|x_k-p_k\|\right)^2\leq\frac{1}{\tau_n^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k^2\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|x_k-p_k\|^2\right).$$

Since $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}\in\ell^2\setminus\ell^1$, taking into consideration that $\tau_n=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k\to+\infty$ as $n\to+\infty$ and $\sum_{n\geq 1}\|x_n-p_n\|^2<+\infty$, we obtain $\|z_n-z'_n\|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$.

The statement (ii) of the theorem follows by combining the statements proved in (a) and (c) with Lemma 1.

Finally, we prove (iii) and assume to this end that A is γ-strongly monotone. Let be $u \in \operatorname{zer}(A+D+N_M)$ and $w = 0 = v + p + Du$, where $v \in Au$ and $p \in N_M(u)$. Following the lines of the proof of Lemma 7, one obtains for any $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma\lambda_n\|p_n-u\|^2 + \|x_{n+1}-u\|^2 - \|x_n-u\|^2 \leq\ & \alpha_n(\|x-u\|^2 - \|x_{n-1}-u\|^2) + 2\alpha_n\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2 \\ & - \left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\eta}\right)^2 - \alpha_n\right]\|x_n-p_n\|^2 \\ & + 2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] \\ \leq\ & \alpha_n(\|x-u\|^2 - \|x_{n-1}-u\|^2) + 2\alpha_n\|x_n-x_{n-1}\|^2 \\ & + 2\lambda_n\beta_n\left[\sup_{u\in M}\varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right]. \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

Invoking now Lemma 3, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda_n\|p_n-u\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Since $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded from above and $\sum_{n\geq 1}\|x_n-p_n\|^2 < +\infty$, it yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n\|x_n-u\|^2 \leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n\|x_n-p_n\|^2 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n\|p_n-u\|^2 < +\infty.$$

As $\sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda_n = +\infty$ and $(\|x_n-u\|)_{n\geq 1}$ is convergent, it follows $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\|x_n-u\| = 0$. This obviously implies $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\|p_n-u\| = 0$, since $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\|x_n-p_n\| = 0$. ■

3 A primal-dual forward-backward-forward penalty algorithm with inertial effects

The aim of this section is to propose and investigate from the point of view of its convergence properties a forward-backward-forward penalty algorithm with inertial effects for solving the following monotone inclusion problem involving linearly composed and parallel-sum type monotone operators.

Problem 12 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space, $A : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ a maximally monotone operator and $C : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a monotone and ν-Lipschitz continuous operator for $\nu > 0$. Let m be a strictly positive integer and for any $i = 1, ..., m$ let $\mathcal{G}_i$ be a real Hilbert space, $B_i : \mathcal{G}_i \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_i$ a maximally monotone operator, $D_i : \mathcal{G}_i \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_i$ a monotone operator such that D_i^{-1} is ν_i-Lipschtz continuous for $\nu_i > 0$ and $L_i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}_i$ a nonzero linear continuous operator. Consider also $B : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a monotone and μ^{-1}-Lipschitz continuous operator with $\mu > 0$ and suppose that $M = \operatorname{zer} B \neq \emptyset$. The monotone inclusion problem to solve is

$$0 \in Ax + \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i^*(B_i \square D_i)(L_i x) + Cx + N_M(x). \quad (25)$$

The algorithm we propose for solving this problem has the following form.

Algorithm 13

Initialization: Choose $(x_0, v_{1,0}, ..., v_{m,0}), (x_1, v_{1,1}, ..., v_{m,1}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$

For $n \geq 1$ set:

$$p_n = J_{\lambda_n A}[x_n - \lambda_n(Cx_n + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* v_{i,n}) - \lambda_n \beta_n B x_n + \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1})]$$
$$q_{i,n} = J_{\lambda_n B_i^{-1}}[v_{i,n} + \lambda_n(L_i x_n - D_i^{-1} v_{i,n}) + \alpha_n(v_{i,n} - v_{i,n-1})],\ i = 1, ..., m$$
$$x_{n+1} = \lambda_n \beta_n(Bx_n - Bp_n) + \lambda_n(Cx_n - Cp_n) + \lambda_n \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*(v_{i,n} - q_{i,n}) + p_n$$
$$v_{i,n+1} = \lambda_n L_i(p_n - x_n) + \lambda_n(D_i^{-1} v_{i,n} - D_i^{-1} q_{i,n}) + q_{i,n}, i = 1, ..., m,$$

where $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are sequences of positive real numbers.

Remark 14 In case $Bx = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the above numerical scheme becomes the inertial algorithm that have been studied in [18] in connection with the solving of the monotone inclusion problem

$$0 \in Ax + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*(B_i \square D_i)(L_i x) + Cx.$$

If, additionally, $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then the algorithm collapses into the error-free variant of the primal-dual iterative scheme formulated in [32, Theorem 3.1].

For the convergence result we need the following additionally hypotheses (we refer the reader to the remarks 8 and 18 for sufficient conditions guaranteeing $(H_{fitz}^{par-sum})$):

$$(H_{fitz}^{par-sum}) \begin{cases} (i)\ A + N_M \text{ is maximally monotone and} \\ \operatorname{zer}\left(A + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* \circ (B_i \square D_i) \circ L_i + C + N_M\right) \neq \emptyset; \\ (ii)\ \text{For every } p \in \operatorname{ran} N_M, \sum\limits_{n\geq 1} \lambda_n \beta_n \left[\sup\limits_{u \in M} \varphi_B\left(u, \frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] < +\infty; \\ (iii)\ (\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell^2 \setminus \ell^1. \end{cases}$$

For proving the convergence of the sequences generated by Algorithm 13 we will make use of a product space approach, which relies on the reformulation of Problem 12 in the same form as Problem 4.

Theorem 15 *Let be the sequences generated by Algorithm 13 and let $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ the be sequence defined in* (10)*. Assume that $(H_{fitz}^{par-sum})$ is fulfilled, $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is nondecreasing and there exist $n_0 \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$*

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha\ \forall n \geq n_0 \tag{26}$$

and

$$5\alpha + 2\sigma + (1 + 4\alpha + 2\sigma)\left(\frac{\lambda_n \beta_n}{\mu} + \lambda_n \beta\right)^2 \leq 1\ \forall n \geq n_0, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\beta = \max\{\nu, \nu_1, ..., \nu_m\} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \|L_i\|^2}.$$

Then $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges weakly to an element in $\operatorname{zer}\left(A + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* \circ (B_i \square D_i) \circ L_i + C + N_M\right)$ as $n \to +\infty$. If, additionally, A and B_i^{-1}, $i = 1, ..., m$, are strongly monotone, then $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges strongly to the unique element in $\operatorname{zer}\left(A + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* \circ (B_i \square D_i) \circ L_i + C + N_M\right)$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Proof. The proof makes use of similar techniques as in [17], however, for the sake of completeness, we provide as follows the necessary details.

We start by noticing that $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is a solution to Problem 12 if and only if there exist $v_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1, ..., v_m \in \mathcal{G}_m$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \in Ax + \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i^* v_i + Cx + N_M(x) \\ v_i \in (B_i \square D_i)(L_i x), i = 1, ..., m, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

which is nothing else than

$$\begin{cases} 0 \in Ax + \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i^* v_i + Cx + N_M(x) \\ 0 \in B_i^{-1} v_i + D_i^{-1} v_i - L_i x, i = 1, ..., m. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

We further endow the product space $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$ with inner product and associated norm defined for all $(x, v_1, ..., v_m), (y, w_1, ..., w_m) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$ as

$$\langle (x, v_1, ..., v_m), (y, w_1, ..., w_m) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle v_i, w_i \rangle$$

and

$$\|(x, v_1, ..., v_m)\| = \sqrt{\|x\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|v_i\|^2},$$

respectively.

We introduce the operators $\widetilde{A} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$

$$\widetilde{A}(x, v_1, ..., v_m) = Ax \times B_1^{-1} v_1 \times .... \times B_m^{-1} v_m,$$

$\widetilde{D} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m \to \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$,

$$\widetilde{D}(x, v_1, ..., v_m) = \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i^* v_i + Cx, D_1^{-1} v_1 - L_1 x, ..., D_m^{-1} v_m - L_m x \Big)$$

and $\widetilde{B} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m \to \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$,

$$\widetilde{B}(x, v_1, ..., v_m) = (Bx, 0, ..., 0).$$

Notice that, since A and B_i, $i = 1, ..., m$ are maximally monotone, $\widetilde{A}$ is maximally monotone, too (see [9, Props. 20.22, 20.23]). Further, as it was done in [32, Theorem 3.1], one can show that $\widetilde{D}$ is a monotone and β-Lipschitz continuous operator.

Indeed, let be $(x, v_1, ..., v_m), (y, w_1, ..., w_m) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$. By using the monotonicity of C and D_i^{-1}, $i = 1, ..., m$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (x, v_1, ..., v_m) - (y, w_1, ..., w_m), \widetilde{D}(x, v_1, ..., v_m) - \widetilde{D}(y, w_1, ..., w_m) \rangle \\ &\quad = \langle x - y, Cx - Cy \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle v_i - w_i, D_i^{-1} v_i - D_i^{-1} w_i \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\langle x - y, L_i^*(v_i - w_i) \rangle - \langle v_i - w_i, L_i(x - y) \rangle) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\widetilde{D}$ is monotone.

The Lipschitz continuity of $\widetilde{D}$ follows by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\widetilde{D}(x,v_1,...,v_m)-\widetilde{D}(y,w_1,...,w_m)\right\| \\
\leq&\left\|\left(Cx-Cy,D_1^{-1}v_1-D_1^{-1}w_1,...,D_m^{-1}v_m-D_m^{-1}w_m\right)\right\| \\
&+\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*(v_i-w_i),-L_1(x-y),...,-L_m(x-y)\right)\right\| \\
\leq&\sqrt{\nu^2\|x-y\|^2+\sum_{i=1}^m\nu_i^2\|v_i-w_i\|^2}+\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^m\|L_i\|\cdot\|v_i-w_i\|\right)^2+\sum_{i=1}^m\|L_i\|^2\cdot\|x-y\|^2} \\
\leq&\beta\|(x,v_1,...,v_m)-(y,w_1,...,w_m)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\widetilde{B}$ is monotone, μ^{-1}-Lipschitz continuous and

$$\operatorname{zer}\widetilde{B}=\operatorname{zer}B\times\mathcal{G}_1\times...\times\mathcal{G}_m=M\times\mathcal{G}_1\times...\times\mathcal{G}_m,$$

hence

$$N_{\widetilde{M}}(x,v_1,...,v_m)=N_M(x)\times\{0\}\times...\times\{0\},$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}=M\times\mathcal{G}_1\times...\times\mathcal{G}_m=\operatorname{zer}\widetilde{B}.$$

Taking into consideration (29), we obtain that $x\in\mathcal{H}$ is a solution to Problem 12 if and only if there exist $v_1\in\mathcal{G}_1,...,v_m\in\mathcal{G}_m$ such that

$$(x,v_1,...,v_m)\in\operatorname{zer}(\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{D}+N_{\widetilde{M}}).$$

Conversely, when $(x,v_1,...,v_m)\in\operatorname{zer}(\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{D}+N_{\widetilde{M}})$, then one obviously has $x\in\operatorname{zer}\big(A+\sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*\circ(B_i\square D_i)\circ L_i+C+N_M\big)$. This means that determining the zeros of $\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{D}+N_{\widetilde{M}}$ will automatically provide a solution to Problem 12.

Further, notice that

$$J_{\lambda\widetilde{A}}(x,v_1,...,v_m)=\Big(J_{\lambda A_1}(x),J_{\lambda B_1^{-1}}(v_1),...,J_{\lambda B_m^{-1}}(v_m)\Big)$$

for every $(x,v_1,...,v_m)\in\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{G}_1\times...\times\mathcal{G}_m$ and every $\lambda>0$ (see [9, Proposition 23.16]). Thus the iterations of Algorithm 13 read for any $n\geq1$:

$$\begin{cases}
(p_n,q_{1,n},...,q_{m,n})=J_{\lambda_n\widetilde{A}}\Big[(x_n,v_{1,n},...,v_{m,n})-\lambda_n\widetilde{D}(x_n,v_{1,n},...,v_{m,n}) \\
\qquad\qquad -\lambda_n\beta_n\widetilde{B}(x_n,v_{1,n},...,v_{m,n})+\alpha_n\big((x_n,v_{1,n},...,v_{m,n})-(x_{n-1},v_{1,n-1},...,v_{m,n-1})\big)\Big] \\
(x_{n+1},v_{1,n+1},...,v_{m,n+1})=\lambda_n\beta_n\Big[\widetilde{B}(x_n,v_{1,n},...,v_{m,n})-\widetilde{B}(p_n,q_{1,n},...,q_{m,n})\Big] \\
\qquad\qquad +\lambda_n\Big[\widetilde{D}(x_n,v_{1,n},...,v_{m,n})-\widetilde{D}(p_n,q_{1,n},...,q_{m,n})\Big]+(p_n,q_{1,n},...,q_{m,n}),
\end{cases}$$

which is nothing else than the iterative scheme of Algorithm 5 employed to the solving of the monotone inclusion problem

$$0\in\widetilde{A}x+\widetilde{D}x+N_{\widetilde{M}}(x).$$

In order to compute the Fitzpatrick function of $\widetilde{B}$, we consider two arbitrary elements $(x, v_1, ..., v_m)$, $(x', v_1', ..., v_m') \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{\widetilde{B}}\left((x, v_1, ..., v_m), (x', v_1', ..., v_m')\right) = \\
&\sup_{\substack{(y, w_1, ..., w_m) \in \\ \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m}} \Big\{ \langle (x, v_1, ..., v_m), \widetilde{B}(y, w_1, ..., w_m) \rangle + \langle (x', v_1', ..., v_m'), (y, w_1, ..., w_m) \rangle \\
&\qquad - \langle (y, w_1, ..., w_m), \widetilde{B}(y, w_1, ..., w_m) \rangle \Big\} \\
&= \sup_{\substack{(y, w_1, ..., w_m) \in \\ \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m}} \left\{ \langle x, By \rangle + \langle x', y \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle v_i', w_i \rangle - \langle y, By \rangle \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\varphi_{\widetilde{B}}\big((x, v_1, ..., v_m), (x', v_1', ..., v_m')\big) = \begin{cases} \varphi_B(x, x'), & \text{if } v_1' = ... = v_m' = 0, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\sigma_{\widetilde{M}}(x, v_1, ..., v_m) = \begin{cases} \sigma_M(x), & \text{if } v_1 = ... = v_m = 0, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

hence condition (ii) in $(H_{fitz}^{par-sum})$ is nothing else than

for each $(p, p_1, ..., p_m) \in \operatorname{ran} N_{\widetilde{M}} = \operatorname{ran} N_M \times \{0\} \times ... \times \{0\}$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \beta_n \left[\sup_{(u, v_1, ..., v_m) \in \widetilde{M}} \varphi_{\widetilde{B}} \left((u, v_1, ..., v_m), \frac{(p, p_1, ..., p_m)}{\beta_n} \right) - \sigma_{\widetilde{M}} \left(\frac{(p, p_1, ..., p_m)}{\beta_n} \right) \right] < +\infty.$$

Moreover, condition (i) in $(H_{fitz}^{par-sum})$ ensures that $\widetilde{A} + N_{\widetilde{M}}$ is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{zer}(\widetilde{A} + \widetilde{D} + N_{\widetilde{M}}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, we are in the position of applying Theorem 10 in the context of finding the zeros of $\widetilde{A} + \widetilde{D} + N_{\widetilde{M}}$. The statements of the theorem are an easy consequence of this result. ■

4 Convex minimization problems

In this section we deal with the minimization of a complexly structured convex objective function subject to the set of minima of another convex and differentiable function with Lipschitz continuous gradient. We show how the results obtained in the previous section for monotone inclusion problems can be applied in this context.

Problem 16 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space, $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $h : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and differentiable function with a ν-Lipschitz continuous gradient for $\nu > 0$. Let m be a strictly positive integer and for any $i = 1, ..., m$ let $\mathcal{G}_i$ be a real Hilbert space, $g_i, l_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_i)$ such that l_i is ν_i^{-1}-strongly convex for $\nu_i > 0$ and $L_i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}_i$ a nonzero linear continuous operator. Further, let $\Psi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ be differentiable with a μ^{-1}-Lipschitz continuous gradient, fulfilling $\min \Psi = 0$. The convex minimization problem under investigation is

$$\inf_{x \in \operatorname{argmin} \Psi} \left\{ f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (g_i \square l_i)(L_i x) + h(x) \right\}. \tag{30}$$

Consider the maximal monotone operators

$$A = \partial f, B = \nabla \Psi, C = \nabla h, B_i = \partial g_i \text{ and } D_i = \partial l_i, i = 1, ..., m.$$

According to [9, Proposition 17.10, Theorem 18.15], $D_i^{-1} = \nabla l_i^*$ is a monotone and ν_i-Lipschitz continuous operator for $i = 1, ..., m$. Moreover, B is a monotone and μ^{-1}-Lipschitz continuous operator and

$$M := \operatorname{argmin} \Psi = \operatorname{zer} B.$$

Taking into account the sum rules of the convex subdifferential, every element of $\operatorname{zer}\left(\partial f + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* \circ (\partial g_i \square \partial l_i) \circ L_i + \nabla h + N_M\right)$ is an optimal solution of (30). The converse is true if an appropriate qualification condition is satisfied. For the readers convenience, we present the following qualification condition of interiority-type (see, for instance, [32, Proposition 4.3, Remark 4.4])

$$(0, ..., 0) \in \operatorname{sqri}\left(\prod_{i=1}^m (\operatorname{dom} g_i + \operatorname{dom} l_i) - \{(L_1 x, ..., L_m x) : x \in \operatorname{dom} f \cap M\}\right). \tag{31}$$

The condition (31) is fulfilled in one of the following circumstances:

(i) $\operatorname{dom} g_i + \operatorname{dom} l_i = \mathcal{G}_i$, $i = 1, ..., m$;

(ii) $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}_i$ are finite-dimensional and there exists $x \in \operatorname{ri} \operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{ri} M$ such that $L_i x \in \operatorname{ri} \operatorname{dom} g_i + \operatorname{ri} \operatorname{dom} l_i$, $i = 1, ..., m$ (see [32, Proposition 4.3]).

Algorithm 13 becomes in this particular case

Algorithm 17

`Initialization:` `Choose` $(x_0, v_{1,0}, ..., v_{m,0}), (x_1, v_{1,1}, ..., v_{m,1}) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G}_1 \times ... \times \mathcal{G}_m$

`For` $n \geq 1$ `set:`

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n &= \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda_n f}[x_n - \lambda_n(\nabla h(x_n) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* v_{i,n}) - \lambda_n \beta_n \nabla \Psi(x_n) \\
&\qquad + \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1})] \\
q_{i,n} &= \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda_n g_i^*}[v_{i,n} + \lambda_n(L_i x_n - \nabla l_i^*(v_{i,n})) + \alpha_n(v_{i,n} - v_{i,n-1})], i = 1, ..., m \\
x_{n+1} &= \lambda_n \beta_n(\nabla \Psi(x_n) - \nabla \Psi(p_n)) + \lambda_n(\nabla h(x_n) - \nabla h(p_n)) \\
&\qquad + \lambda_n \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*(v_{i,n} - q_{i,n}) + p_n \\
v_{i,n+1} &= \lambda_n L_i(p_n - x_n) + \lambda_n(\nabla l_i^*(v_{i,n}) - \nabla l_i^*(q_{i,n})) + q_{i,n}, i = 1, ..., m.
\end{aligned}$$

For the convergence result we need the following hypotheses:

$$(H_{fitz}^{opt}) \begin{cases} (i)\ \partial f + N_M \text{ is maximally monotone and (30) has an optimal solution;} \\ (ii)\ \text{For every } p \in \operatorname{ran} N_M, \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_n \beta_n \left[\Psi^*\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right) - \sigma_M\left(\frac{p}{\beta_n}\right)\right] < +\infty; \\ (iii)\ (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^2 \setminus \ell^1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 18 (a) Let us mention that $\partial f + N_M$ is maximally monotone, if $0 \in \operatorname{sqri}(\operatorname{dom} f - M)$, a condition which is fulfilled if, for instance, f is continuous at a point in $\operatorname{dom} f \cap M$ or $\operatorname{int} M \cap \operatorname{dom} f \neq \emptyset$.

(b) Since $\Psi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$, by (9) it follows that whenever (ii) in (H_{fitz}^{opt}) holds, condition (ii) in $(H_{fitz}^{par-sum})$, formulated for $B = \nabla \Psi$, is also true.

(c) The hypothesis (ii) is satisfied, if $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_n}{\beta_n} < +\infty$ and Ψ is bounded below by a multiple of the square of the distance to C (see [5]). This is for instance the case when $M = \operatorname{zer} L = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : Lx = 0\}$, $L : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a linear continuous operator with closed range and $\Psi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}, \Psi(x) = \|Lx\|^2$ (see [5, 6]). For further situations for which condition (ii) is fulfilled we refer to [6, Section 4.1].

We are able now to formulate the convergence result.

Theorem 19 *Let be the sequences generated by Algorithm 17 and let $(z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ the be sequence defined in (10). Assume that (H_{fitz}^{opt}) is fulfilled, $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is nondecreasing and there exist $n_0 \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$*

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha \ \forall n \geq n_0 \tag{32}$$

and

$$5\alpha + 2\sigma + (1 + 4\alpha + 2\sigma)\left(\frac{\lambda_n\beta_n}{\mu} + \lambda_n\beta\right)^2 \leq 1 \ \forall n \geq n_0, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\beta = \max\{\nu, \nu_1, ..., \nu_m\} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|L_i\|^2}.$$

Then $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges weakly to an optimal solution to (30) *as $n \to +\infty$. If, additionally, f and g_i^*, $i = 1, ..., m$, are strongly convex, then $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges strongly to the unique optimal solution of* (30) *as $n \to +\infty$.*

Remark 20 (a) According to [9, Proposition 17.10, Theorem 18.15], for a function $g \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ one has that g^* is strongly convex if and only if g is differentiable with Lipschitz continuous gradient.

(b) Notice that in case $\Psi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ Algorithm 17 has been studied in [18] in connection with the solving of the optimization problem

$$\inf_{x\in\mathcal{H}} \left\{ f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (g_i \square l_i)(L_i x) + h(x) \right\}. \tag{34}$$

If, additionally, $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then Algorithm 17 becomes the error-free variant of the iterative scheme given in [32, Theorem 4.2] for solving (34).

References

[1] F. Alvarez, *On the minimizing property of a second order dissipative system in Hilbert spaces*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 38(4), 1102–1119, 2000

[2] F. Alvarez, *Weak convergence of a relaxed and inertial hybrid projection-proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators in Hilbert space*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 14(3), 773–782, 2004

[3] F. Alvarez, H. Attouch, *An inertial proximal method for maximal monotone operators via discretization of a nonlinear oscillator with damping*, Set-Valued Analysis 9, 3–11, 2001

[4] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, *Asymptotic behavior of coupled dynamical systems with multiscale aspects*, Journal of Differential Equations 248(6), 1315-1344, 2010

[5] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, J. Peypouquet, *Prox-penalization and splitting methods for constrained variational problems*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 21(1), 149-173, 2011

[6] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, J. Peypouquet, *Coupling forward-backward with penalty schemes and parallel splitting for constrained variational inequalities*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 21(4), 1251-1274, 2011

[7] H. Attouch, J. Peypouquet, P. Redont, *A dynamical approach to an inertial forward-backward algorithm for convex minimization*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 24(1), 232–256, 2014

[8] S. Banert, R.I. Boţ, *Backward penalty schemes for monotone inclusion problems*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 166(3), 930–948, 2015

[9] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011

[10] H.H. Bauschke, D.A. McLaren, H.S. Sendov, *Fitzpatrick functions: inequalities, examples and remarks on a problem by S. Fitzpatrick*, Journal of Convex Analysis 13(3-4), 499–523, 2006

[11] D.P. Bertsekas, *Nonlinear Programming*, 2nd ed., Athena Scientific, Cambridge, MA, 1999

[12] J.M. Borwein, *Maximal monotonicity via convex analysis*, Journal of Convex Analysis 13(3-4), 561–586, 2006

[13] J.M. Borwein and J.D. Vanderwerff, *Convex Functions: Constructions, Characterizations and Counterexamples*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010

[14] R.I. Boţ, *Conjugate Duality in Convex Optimization*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Vol. 637, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2010

[15] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *An application of the bivariate inf-convolution formula to enlargements of monotone operators*, Set-Valued Analysis 16(7-8), 983–997, 2008

[16] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Forward-backward and Tseng's type penalty schemes for monotone inclusion problems*, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis 22, 313–331, 2014

[17] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *A Tseng's type penalty scheme for solving inclusion problems involving linearly composed and parallel-sum type monotone operators*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 42(4), 451–465, 2014

[18] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *An inertial forward-backward-forward primal-dual splitting algorithm for solving monotone inclusion problems*, Numerical Algorithms, DOI 10.1007/s11075-015-0007-5

[19] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *An inertial alternating direction method of multipliers*, to appear in Minimax Theory and its Applications, arXiv:1404.4582, 2014

[20] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *A hybrid proximal-extragradient algorithm with inertial effects*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization 36(8), 951–963, 2015

[21] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *An inertial Tseng's type proximal algorithm for nonsmooth and nonconvex optimization problems*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, DOI 10.1007/s10957-015-0730-z

[22] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Approaching the solving of constrained variational inequalities via penalty term-based dynamical systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 435(2), 1688-1700, 2016

[23] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, C. Hendrich, *Inertial Douglas-Rachford splitting for monotone inclusion problems*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 256, 472–487, 2015

[24] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, S. László, *An inertial forward-backward algorithm for the minimization of the sum of two nonconvex functions*, EURO Journal on Computational Optimization, DOI: 10.1007/s13675-015-0045-8

[25] R.I. Boţ, C. Hendrich, *Convergence analysis for a primal-dual monotone + skew splitting algorithm with applications to total variation minimization*, Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision 49(3), 551–568, 2014

[26] L.M. Briceño-Arias, P.L. Combettes, *A monotone + skew splitting model for composite monotone inclusions in duality*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 21(4), 1230–1250, 2011

[27] R.S. Burachik, B.F. Svaiter, *Maximal monotone operators, convex functions and a special family of enlargements*, Set-Valued Analysis 10(4), 297–316, 2002

[28] A. Cabot, P. Frankel, *Asymptotics for some proximal-like method involving inertia and memory aspects*, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis 19, 59–74, 2011

[29] A. Chambolle, *An algorithm for total variation minimization and applications*, Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision, 20(1–2), 89–97, 2004

[30] C. Chen, R.H. Chan, S. MA, J. Yang, *Inertial proximal ADMM for linearly constrained separable convex optimization*, Siam Journal on Imaging Sciences 8(4), 2239–2267, 2015

[31] C. Chen, S. MA, J. Yang, *A general inertial proximal point algorithm for mixed variational inequality problem*, Siam Journal on Optimization 25(4), 2120–2142, 2015

[32] P.L. Combettes, J.-C. Pesquet, *Primal-dual splitting algorithm for solving inclusions with mixtures of composite, Lipschitzian, and parallel-sum type monotone operators*, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis 20(2), 307–330, 2012

[33] I. Ekeland, R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1976

[34] S. Fitzpatrick, *Representing monotone operators by convex functions*, in: Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988), Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis **20**, Australian National University, Canberra, 59–65, 1988

[35] P.-E. Maingé, *Convergence theorems for inertial KM-type algorithms*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 219, 223–236, 2008

[36] P.-E. Maingé, A. Moudafi, *Convergence of new inertial proximal methods for dc programming*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 19(1), 397–413, 2008

[37] A. Moudafi, M. Oliny, *Convergence of a splitting inertial proximal method for monotone operators*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 155, 447–454, 2003

[38] N. Noun, J. Peypouquet, *Forward-backward penalty scheme for constrained convex minimization without inf-compactness*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 158(3), 787–795, 2013

[39] P. Ochs, Y. Chen, T. Brox, T. Pock, *iPiano: Inertial proximal algorithm for non-convex optimization*, SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences 7(2), 1388–1419, 2014

[40] J. Peypouquet, *Coupling the gradient method with a general exterior penalization scheme for convex minimization*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 153(1), 123-138, 2012

[41] B.T. Polyak, *Introduction to Optimization*, (Translated from the Russian) Translations Series in Mathematics and Engineering, Optimization Software, Inc., Publications Division, New York, 1987

[42] R.T. Rockafellar, *On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 33(1), 209–216, 1970

[43] R.T. Rockafellar, *On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 149, 75–88, 1970

[44] R.T. Rockafellar, *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 14(5), 877-898, 1976

[45] S. Simons, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer, Berlin, 2008

[46] P. Tseng, *A modified forward-backward splitting method for maximal monotone mappings*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 38(2), 431–446, 2000

[47] C. Zălinescu, *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2002